Dissipative Preparation of Entangled Many-Body States with Rydberg Atoms

Maryam Roghani* and Hendrik Weimer
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstrasse 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany
(Dated: November 30, 2016)

We investigate a one-dimensional atomic lattice laser-driven to a Rydberg state, in which engineered dissipation channels lead to entanglement in the many-body system. In particular, we demonstrate the efficient generation of ground states of a frustration-free Hamiltonian, as well as W states. We discuss the realization of the required coherent and dissipative terms, and we perform extensive numerical simulations characterizing the fidelity of the state preparation procedure. We identify the optimum parameters for high fidelity entanglement preparation and investigate the scaling with the size of the system.

PACS numbers: 37.10.Jk, 42.50.-p, 03.65.Ud

arXiv:1611.09612v1 [quant-ph] 29 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of controlled dissipation channels holds great promise for the preparation of highly entangled quantum many-body states [1, 2]. These ideas are particularly relevant for ultracold atoms due to the tremendous experimental control over these systems, combined with the availability of efficient dissipation channels in the form of optical pumping. Here, we discuss the preparation of entangled many-body quantum states in the context of strongly interacting Rydberg atoms.

So far, explicit state preparation protocols have been mostly constrained to stabilizer states [3–9], meaning that these states can be found by minimizing the energy of a stabilizer Hamiltonian of mutually commuting observables [10]. However, as shown in [1], efficient state preparation protocols should also exist for non-commuting degrees of freedom in frustration-free models, where the ground state can be found by minimizing a sum of quasilocal projection operators.

As the physical platform to realize such dissipative quantum state engineering, Rydberg atoms appear to be particularly suited due to their highly tunable interaction and dissipation properties [11]. Remarkably, Rydberg atoms in one-dimensional lattices can be approximated by a frustration-free model [12], making it especially promising to study dissipative quantum state engineering in these systems.

A crucial quantity in the investigation of strongly interacting Rydberg gases is the blockade radius r_b, given by

$$r_b = \sqrt[6]{\frac{C_6}{\hbar\Omega}}, \tag{1}$$

where C_6 is the van der Waals coefficient of the Rydberg interaction and Ω is the Rabi frequency associated with the driving of the Rydberg transition. Here, we will be interested both in the regime where the blockade radius is comparable to the lattice spacing and the regime where r_b is larger than the system size.

This paper is organized as follows. After a brief introduction of the atomic scheme and the theoretical approach, we discuss the prepariation of the ground state of a frustration-free Hamiltonian. We present our numerical results for various detuning and explore the appropriate parameters in which the steady state overlaps with the highly entangled Rokhsar-Kivelson state. We investigate the scaling of the fidelity with increasing system size. Finally, we perform an analogous analysis for the generation of entangled W states, where we find high state preparation fidelity even for relatively large system sizes.

II. SETUP OF THE SYSTEM

A. Hamiltonian dynamics

We consider a one-dimensional lattice with N sites. Each site is occupied by a two level atom with states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, driven by a laser beam with Rabi frequency Ω and detuning Δ. The Hamiltonian for this system in the rotating wave approximation is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \Omega \sum_k^N \sigma_x^k + \Delta \sum_k^N n_k + V \sum_{m>k}^N \frac{n_m n_k}{|k-m|^6}, \tag{2}$$

where $n_k = \sigma_+^k \sigma_-^k$ is the Rydberg number operator in terms of the raising and lowering operators. The atoms interact via the van der Waals interaction with the interaction strength V. By applying the unitary transformation $U = \exp[-it \sum_k^N n_k n_{k+1}]$ and an additional rotating wave approximation, Eq. (2) is rewritten as [12]

$$\mathcal{H} = E_0 + \mathcal{H}_{3\text{body}} + \mathcal{H}', \tag{3}$$

where E_0 is the ground state energy and $\mathcal{H}'$ a perturbation. The three-body term $\mathcal{H}_{3\text{body}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{3\text{body}} = \Omega \sum_k^N h_k^\dagger h_k, \tag{4}$$

* maryam.roghani@itp.uni-hannover.de

i.e., it is a sum of projection operators h_k. These projection operactors have the form

$$h_k = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\xi^{-1}+\xi}}\; P_{k-1}P_{k+1}[\sigma_x^k + \xi^{-1}n_k + \xi(1-n_k)], \quad (5)$$

where $P_k = 1 - n_k$. Within the phase diagram of one-dimensional Rydberg gases [13, 14], the pertubation $\mathcal{H}'$ is minimal when the blockade radius r_b is given by $r_b = 2a$ with a being the lattice spacing [12]. The manifold of the region that can be appromixated by the three-body Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\rm 3body}$ adiabatically connects to the region between crystalline phases at half and third filling [15].

A Hamiltonian that can be expressed as summation of the projection operators is known as frustration-free Hamiltonian with a Rokhsar-Kivelson (RK) ground state [16]

$$|\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_\xi}}\prod_k^N (1 - \xi P_{k-1}\sigma_+^k P_{k+1})|00\cdots0\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where Z_ξ is a normalization constant. This state is a coherent superposition of all states that has no nearest neighbour excitations and is highly entangled. For the case $\xi = 1$, the RK state can be understood as a super-operator $\mathcal{P}$ acting on the anti-symmetric superposition of the two atomic states, $\prod_i |-\rangle_i = \prod_i (|0\rangle_i - |1\rangle_i)/\sqrt{2}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ imposes a constraint prohibiting two neighbouring excitations.

B. Jump Operators

For the dissipative preparation, we will be interested in the coupling to an external environment, which leads to the desired quantum many-body state as a steady state of the dynamics. Here, we consider an open quantum system that is described by a quantum master equation in Lindblad form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}[\mathcal{H},\rho] + \sum_k \left(c_i \rho c_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{c_i^\dagger c_i, \rho\}\right). \quad (7)$$

For the dissipative preparation of the RK state, we focus on the jump operators

$$c_k = \sqrt{\kappa}\, P_g^{k-1}(|-\rangle\langle+|)^k P_g^{k+1}, \quad (8)$$

which avoids the existence of two neighboring atoms in the excited states, thus transfers the atoms to an antisymmetric superpostion. In the context of Rydberg atoms, we envision three different possibilities to realize such a correlated jump operator: (i) Combining strong Rydberg interactions with electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [17–19], it is possible to engineer effective jump operators of the desired type when the Rydberg interaction destroys the EIT feature. (ii) Realization of a rotated version of the Hamiltonian (2) using Rydberg dressing of hyperfine spin states [20–22], where a σ_- jump operator in the rotated bases corresponds to the desired $|-\rangle\langle+|$ operator [23] (iii) Using coherent interactions with optically pumped auxiliary atoms, allowing to realize largely arbitrary many-particle jump operators [24].

While the jump operators of Eq. (8) have the RK state as a dark state, it is not unique, as configurations involving a large number of up spins are also dark, as there is no site on which the constraints involving the P_g operators can be fulfilled. Hence, we add a second set of jump operators, related to optical pumping of on spin state into the other via an intermediate state. The strength of this second set of decay processes is chosen to be relatively weak to the former, ensuring that the desired RK state remains an approximate dark state of the dynamics. Interestingly, the precise form of this second set is not very important. Even in the case (ii) outlined above, where the decay is actually occurring in a rotated basis, we find a very efficient decay of the undesired class of dark states. In this case, we can integrate out the intermediate state within the effective operator formalism [25], see App. A, obtaining for these addition operators in the rotated frame

$$c_{k'} = \frac{\Omega'}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_{\rm k}^{\rm y}}(|0\rangle\langle0| + |0\rangle\langle1|)\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_{\rm k}^{\rm y}}, \quad (9)$$
$$c_{k''} = \frac{\Omega'}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_{\rm k}^{\rm y}}(|0\rangle\langle1| + |1\rangle\langle1|)\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_{\rm k}^{\rm y}}.$$

In total, the jump operators c_i in Eq. (7) contain the sets c_k, c'_k, and c''_k, for all lattice sites k.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now perform numerical simulations of the quantum master equation based on the wave function Monte Carlo approach [26] to obtain the steady state ρ_{st} of the dynamics. Fig. 1 compares the expectation value of the energy in the steady state of the dynamics to the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian at $\xi = 1$. At $\Delta = -2$, the energies are in close agreement.

We can further explore the efficiency of our dissipative preparation scheme by considering the fidelity $\mathcal{F}_{RK}$ of preparing the RK state in terms of the overlap of the steady state with the RK state,

$$\mathcal{F}_{RK} = \langle\xi|\rho_{st}|\xi\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where ρ_{st} is the steady state density matrix from the solution of the quantum master equation. Again, we find that at $\Delta = -2$, the fidelity approaches unity.

Furthermore, we perform an evaluation of our preparation procedure as a function of the system size. Generically, the fidelity is expected to decay exponentially, as small local deviations from the RK state get multiplied for larger system sizes, a phenomenon also known as "orthogonality catastrophe". Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3, we observe a decrease of the fidelity for larger system sizes.



FIG. 1. Comparison of the expection value of the energy in the steady state ($\star$) and the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ ($\bullet$) for different laser detunings. Further parameters are $\Omega'^2/\gamma = 0.02\kappa$, $V = 100\kappa$, $\Omega = 1.5\kappa$, and $N = 5$.

Nevertheless, we still obtain a substantial overlap with the RK state for system sizes as large as $N = 11$. Here, we focus on an odd number of sites, as they give slightly larger values for the fidelity.

IV. GENERATION OF W STATES

Finally, we wish to discuss how the dissipation preparation procedure can be generalized to cover other classes of entangled quantum many-body states. Here, we consider the case where the blockade radius is larger than the system size, $r_b \gg Na$, corresponding to a fully blockaded ensemble [27]. In such a situation, the dynamics is



FIG. 2. Fidelity of the Rokhsar-Kivelson state, $|\xi\rangle$, as a function of detuning. Parameters are same as in Fig. 1.



FIG. 3. Scaling of the fidelity of the RK state preparation with different numbers of lattice sites N.

mostly confined to the manifold of states containing at most a single Rydberg excitation. In this regime, the jump operator is defined as

$$c_i = \sqrt{\kappa}\prod_{j\neq i} P_g^j\,(|-\rangle\langle+|)^i \qquad (11)$$
$$= \sqrt{\kappa}P_g^1 \otimes P_g^2 \otimes P_g^{i-1} \otimes (|-\rangle\langle+|)^i \otimes P_g^{i+1},$$

i.e, the quantum jump can only occur if there are no Rydberg excitations located at other sites. The dark state of interest is closely related to a W state, i.e.,

$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}}(|\downarrow\downarrow\cdots\downarrow\rangle - |\uparrow\downarrow\cdots\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\cdots\downarrow\rangle - \cdots). \qquad (12)$$

As in the investigation of the dissipative preparation of the RK state, we now turn to analyzing the fidelity of the W state preparation, defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_W = \langle W|\rho_{st}|W\rangle. \qquad (13)$$

Figure 4 shows the fidelity for various detunings Δ and for different number of the atoms in the lattice. The maximum entanglment occurs at different detunings for different number of the atoms. Remarkably, we find that the fidelity does not significantly decrease for larger system sizes, potentially allowing to efficiently prepare large ensembles of entangled atoms. Performing numerical simulations based on matrix product states [28–31] or the variational principle for open quantum systems [32] will allow to test the precise scaling of the fidelity with the size of the system for much larger ensemble sizes.

V. SUMMARY

We have investigated the dissipative preparation of entangled states in one-dimensional atomic lattices in which



FIG. 4. Fidelity of the W state prepration as a function of the laser detuning Δ. Parameters are $V = 10^4\kappa$, $\Omega'^2/\gamma = 0.02\kappa$, $\Omega = 1.5\kappa$.

the atoms interact via a long-range Rydberg interaction. We find that we can efficiently prepare moderately sized systems in a highly entangled Rokhsar-Kivelson state, as well as larger ensembles of atoms in a W state. Our results underline the strengths of the dissipative quantum state preparation paradigm.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Volkswagen Foundation and by the DFG within SFB 1227 (DQ-mat) and SPP 1929 (GiRyd).

Appendix A: Jump operators in the effective operator formalism

One approach which eliminates the fast decaying excited states manifold is via effective quantum jump operators [25], which reduces the system dynamics to the non-decaying states. Here, we will neglect the decay of the Rydberg state and focus only on the decay of intermediate states. This procedure gives rise to an effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{1}{2}V_-\left[\mathcal{H}_{NH}^{-1} + (\mathcal{H}_{NH}^{-1})^\dagger\right]V_+ + \mathcal{H}_g, \tag{A1}$$

where V_+/V_- are the excitation/de-excitation operators coupling to the decaying states. $\mathcal{H}_{NH}$ is the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian of the decaying states manifold

$$\mathcal{H}_{NH} = \mathcal{H}_e - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_k \mathcal{L}_k^\dagger\,\mathcal{L}_k, \tag{A2}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_e$ is the Hamiltonian in the manifold of the decaying states and $\mathcal{L}_k$ describes the quantum jump operators. The effective quantum jump operators are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^k = \mathcal{L}_k\mathcal{H}_{NH}^{-1}V_+. \tag{A3}$$

Applying these definition to a process that describes optical pumping from the $|1\rangle$ state into the $|0\rangle$ state via an intermediate level, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^0 = \frac{\mathrm{i}\Omega'}{\sqrt{\gamma}}(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|), \tag{A4}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^1 = \frac{\mathrm{i}\Omega'}{\sqrt{\gamma}}(|0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 1|),$$

where Ω' is the Rabi frequency describing the driving of the transition between $|1\rangle$ and the intermediate state and γ is its spontaenous decay rate. After transformation into the rotating frame, we obtain the jump operators given in Eq. (9).

[1] F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, and J. Ignacio Cirac, Quantum computation and quantum-state engineering driven by dissipation, Nature Phys. **5**, 633 (2009).

[2] H. Weimer, M. Müller, I. Lesanovsky, P. Zoller, and H. P. Büchler, A Rydberg quantum simulator, Nature Phys. **6**, 382 (2010).

[3] J. T. Barreiro, M. Müller, P. Schindler, D. Nigg, T. Monz, M. Chwalla, M. Hennrich, C. F. Roos, P. Zoller, and R. Blatt, An open-system quantum simulator with trapped ions, Nature **470**, 486 (2011).

[4] H. Weimer, M. Müller, H. P. Büchler, and I. Lesanovsky, Digital quantum simulation with Rydberg atoms, Quant. Inf. Proc. **10**, 885 (2011).

[5] A. W. Carr and M. Saffman, Preparation of Entangled and Antiferromagnetic States by Dissipative Rydberg Pumping, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 033607 (2013).

[6] D. D. B. Rao and K. Mølmer, Dark Entangled Steady States of Interacting Rydberg Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 033606 (2013).

[7] H. Weimer, Quantum simulation of many-body spin interactions with ultracold polar molecules, Mol. Phys. **111**, 1753 (2013).

[8] Y. Lin, J. P. Gaebler, F. Reiter, T. R. Tan, R. Bowler, A. S. Sørensen, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, Dissipative production of a maximally entangled steady state of two quantum bits, Nature **504**, 415 (2013).

[9] S. Shankar, M. Hatridge, Z. Leghtas, K. M. Sliwa, A. Narla, U. Vool, S. M. Girvin, L. Frunzio, M. Mirrahimi, and M. H. Devoret, Autonomously stabilized entanglement between two superconducting quantum bits, Nature **504**, 419 (2013).

[10] D. Gottesman, Class of quantum error-correcting codes saturating the quantum Hamming bound, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1862 (1996).

[11] R. Löw, H. Weimer, J. Nipper, J. B. Balewski, B. Butscher, H. P. Büchler, and T. Pfau, An experimental and theoretical guide to strongly interacting Rydberg gases, J. Phys. B **45**, 113001 (2012).

[12] I. Lesanovsky, Many-Body Spin Interactions and the Ground State of a Dense Rydberg Lattice Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 025301 (2011).

[13] H. Weimer and H. P. Büchler, Two-stage melting in systems of strongly interacting Rydberg atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 230403 (2010).

[14] E. Sela, M. Punk, and M. Garst, Dislocation-mediated melting of one-dimensional Rydberg crystals, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 085434 (2011).

[15] S. Ji, V. Sanghai, C. Ates, and I. Lesanovsky, Inhomogeneities and impurities in a dense one-dimensional Rydberg lattice gas, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 021404 (2014).

[16] D. Rokhsar and S. Kivelson, Superconductivity and the Quantum Hard-Core Dimer Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2376 (1988).

[17] M. Müller, I. Lesanovsky, H. Weimer, H. P. Büchler, and P. Zoller, Mesoscopic Rydberg gate based on electromagnetically induced transparency, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 170502 (2009).

[18] J. D. Pritchard, D. Maxwell, A. Gauguet, K. J. Weatherill, M. P. A. Jones, and C. S. Adams, Cooperative Atom-Light Interaction in a Blockaded Rydberg Ensemble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 193603 (2010).

[19] D. Petrosyan, J. Otterbach, and M. Fleischhauer, Electromagnetically Induced Transparency with Rydberg Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 213601 (2011).

[20] A. W. Glaetzle, M. Dalmonte, R. Nath, C. Gross, I. Bloch, and P. Zoller, Designing Frustrated Quantum Magnets with Laser-Dressed Rydberg Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 173002 (2015).

[21] R. M. W. van Bijnen and T. Pohl, Quantum Magnetism and Topological Ordering via Rydberg Dressing near Förster Resonances, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 243002 (2015).

[22] V. R. Overbeck, M. F. Maghrebi, A. V. Gorshkov, and H. Weimer, Multicritical behavior in dissipative Ising models, arXiv:1606.08863 (2016).

[23] The (rotated) projection operator P_g can be incorporated by making the decay process dependent on the Rydberg-dressed interaction.

[24] H. Weimer, Tailored jump operators for purely dissipative quantum magnetism, arXiv:1608.02593 (2016).

[25] F. Reiter and A. S. Sørensen, Effective operator formalism for open quantum systems, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 032111 (2012).

[26] J. Johansson, P. Nation, and F. Nori, QuTiP 2: A Python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems, Comp. Phys. Comm. **184**, 1234 (2013).

[27] E. Brion, K. Mølmer, and M. Saffman, Quantum Computing with Collective Ensembles of Multilevel Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 260501 (2007).

[28] M. Höning, M. Moos, and M. Fleischhauer, Critical exponents of steady-state phase transitions in fermionic lattice models, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 013606 (2012).

[29] F. W. G. Transchel, A. Milsted, and T. J. Osborne, A Monte Carlo Time-Dependent Variational Principle, arXiv:1411.5546 (2014).

[30] J. Cui, J. I. Cirac, and M. C. Bañuls, Variational Matrix Product Operators for the Steady State of Dissipative Quantum Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 220601 (2015).

[31] A. H. Werner, D. Jaschke, P. Silvi, M. Kliesch, T. Calarco, J. Eisert, and S. Montangero, Positive Tensor Network Approach for Simulating Open Quantum Many-Body Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 237201 (2016).

[32] H. Weimer, Variational Principle for Steady States of Dissipative Quantum Many-Body Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 040402 (2015).